PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70) REC'D 2 2 JUL 2005

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

/IPO	PCT

Applic	ant's or	r agen	t's file reference	FOR EURTHER ACT	FION	See Notification	of Transmittal of International	
480102.411PC FOR FURTHER ACT		1014	Preliminary Exa	mination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416))			
International application No. International filing date (d.		ay/monti	vyear)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US 03/34655 31.10.2003					02.05.2003			
Intern	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
	D207/							1
Applic	rant							
		1E PH	HARMA CORP. et al.	•				İ
1.	1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining					ł		
1.	Autho	ority a	nd is transmitted to the	applicant according to A	rticle 3	6.		
2.	This I	REPO	ORT consists of a total o	of 6 sheets, including this	s cover	sheet.		
۷.	11115							
		This	report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. s	heets c	of the description	on, claims and/or drawings which hectifications made before this Auth	nave
		been (see	Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administrativ	e Instr	uctions under	the PCT).	
	Thee	•	exes consist of a total					
	11162	e aiii	exes consist of a total c	o. o.,oo.o.				Ì
					-	3		
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ms:			
	ı	Ø	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	111	\boxtimes		opinion with regard to no	ovelty, i	nventive step	and industrial applicability	
	IV Lack of unity of invention							
	V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				ility;			
	VI		Certain documents ci	_				
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application				
	VIII			on the international appli				
Date of submission of the demand			Date o	f completion of t	his report			
29.11.2004			21.07	7.2005				
			Author	rized Officer				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			744101	nesa Onicol	.gov/leches	Petenteny .		
-		Εu	ropean Patent Office		Hani	sch I	۲۰۰۱ بران د	o))) 🖟
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			Ì		0000 7000			
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7880					a •2000 .			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/34655

١.	Basis	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** as originally filed 1-195 Claims, Numbers as originally filed 1-100 **Drawings, Figures** as originally filed 1-167 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: . which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: Contained in the international application in written form. \square filed together with the international application in computer readable form. ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages: ☐ the claims, Nos.:

the drawings,

sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/34655

5.		This report has been establishe been considered to go beyond	ed as if the dis	(some of) the closure as file	e amendments had not been made, since they have ed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sheet contain report.)	ning su	ıch amendme	ents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	itional observations, if necessar	y:						
111.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion wit	h rega	ard to novel	y, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bylious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
		the entire international applicat							
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1,5,7,9,13,15,17,2	1,23,29	9,31,33,37,39	9,53,55,57-67,69,70,72-84,86,87,89-100				
		because:							
	×	the said international application respect to industrial applicability international preliminary exami	v relat	e to the follov	s Nos. 53,55,57-67,69,70,72-84,86,87,89-100 with wing subject matter which does not require an				
		see separate sheet							
	×	the description, claims or draw 1,5,7,9,13,15,17,21,23,29,31,3	ings <i>(ii</i> 3,37,3	<i>ndicate partic</i> 9 are so unc	cular elements below) or said claims Nos. lear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :				
		see separate sheet							
	☒	the claims, or said claims Nos. description that no meaningful	1,5,7, opinio	9,13,15,17,2 n could be fo	1,23,29,31,33,37,39 are so inadequately supported by the rmed.				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.							
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucl or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrat Instructions:					nnot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and, dard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
V	. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	. Sta	Statement							
	No	veity (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-100				
	Inv	rentive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	37-50,84-100 1-36,51-83				
	Inc	dustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-52,54,56,68,71,85,88				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/34655

Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

The production of the second

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III.

It is noted that the application refers to "prodrugs" and "metabolites". These terms are functional definitions which attempt to define a chemical compound in terms of a result to be achieved without giving a specific technical guidance for the selection of the suitable derivatives in the description and without proven general knowledge to show which derivatives in this specific case are suitable prodrugs. The term could be seen as a mere invitation to the skilled person to perform a research program in order to find the suitable variants. In such a situation, when the invention cannot be carried out over the whole claimed area without imposing an undue burden on the skilled person, the disclosure may be considered insufficient, even when simple in vivo or in vitro tests are available to determine whether or not a particular compound is covered by the claims. The search has therefore been restricted to the specific meanings of the the term "prodrug" which have been defined on page 108, i.e. to ester, amide and terminal peptide derivatives. This opinion exclusively relates to the searched subject-matter.

Claims 53,55,57-67,69,70,72-84,86,87,89-100 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i)PCT).

Re Item V.

Relevant prior art is provided by

- (A) WO 9950225
- (B) WO 03105756
- (B) as an intermediate document ist not regarded during the international phase, however, the current compounds appear to be a novel selection of the general formula given in (B). The exemplified compound of (B) falls within the part excluded from the current subject-matter by means of a proviso.

Novelty

The current compounds of formula (1A) appear to be a novel selection of (A) and

therefore satisfy Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive Step

The problem underlying the present application appears to be the provision of further cyclohexylether derivatives which are useful for the treatment of arrhythmia etc. on acount of their ion channel modulating activity.

(A) appears to be the only relevant prior art. The current compounds fall within the general formula of (A), whereby the current examples appear to represent the specific structural combination of the two examples 6 and 24 of (A). Consequently, an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT may only be acknowledged for those current compounds which show an unexpectedly improved effect. The therapeutic indices given in tables 4 and 5 may justify such an inventive step for the exemplified compounds compared to examples 6 and 24 of (A) (corresponding to examples 35 and 46 in table 5), and consequently for claims 37-50 and 84-100. However, the said effect may not be generalized over the whole claimed scope since it appears to be based on the said specific combination of substituents. Consequently, all compounds of claims 1-36 which do not fall within claims 37-50 and thus have not yet been shown to have an unexpectedly improved effect vis-à-vis the closest state of the art appear to be an obvious solution for the skilled person and thus lack an inventive step.

Industrial Applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 53,55,57-67,69,70,72-84,86,87,89-100 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.